Application No. 10/019,013 Amendment dated February 15, 2006 Reply to Office Action of November 22, 2005 Docket No.: 21900-00044-US

REMARKS

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

The Office Action and prior art relied upon have been carefully considered. In an effort to expedite the prosecution claims 2-8 and 11-14 have been cancelled and claims 1 and 10 have been amended to clearly distinguish the invention from the cited prior art.

Claim 13 was rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. However, this claim has been cancelled herewith.

Claims 1 to 14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Abraham et al. (USP 5,983,270).

To expedite the prosecution, claims 1 and 10 have been amended by incorporating novel features which are not disclosed or suggested in the cited reference.

More specifically, amended claim 1 recites "said access request information acceptance means being capable of accepting said access request information including a description of an access object resource which is designated by access object resource classification information which is information corresponding to an identifier of access object resource, where said information is in a natural language designated by a user, not by an identifier for uniquely specifying said resource". The above added features, which are found in the specification on page 32, L5 to page 37, L21 and Fig. 14, are not disclosed or suggested by Abraham et al.. As a result, it is believed that the present invention now defined by amended claim 1 is substantially different from Abraham et al., and therefore, the present invention is not anticipated by Abraham et al.

Claims 9 and 10 depend from the amended claim 1, and therefore, these claims are not anticipated by Abraham et al. In addition, amended claim 10 recites a conversion table in which natural language and artificial language corresponding to the natural

NO. 1476 P. 7/8

Application No. 10/019,013

Amendment dated February 15, 2006

Reply to Office Action of November 22, 2005

Docket No.: 21900-00044-US

language are described; and display information preparation means for converting the content of said natural language described in said access request information to natural language which corresponds to artificial language described in said access request information in said conversion table, on the basis of artificial language described in said access request information. The above added features, which are found in the specification page 49, L19 to page 54, L2 and Fig. 26, are not disclosed or suggested by Abraham et al. As a result, it is believed that the present invention now defined by amended claim 10 is substantially different from Abraham et al., and therefore, the present claim 10 is not anticipated by Abraham et al.

From the above discussion, it will be clear that the present invention now defined by amended claimed is totally novel and is not either anticipated or obvious over the prior art.

In view of the above, consideration and allowance are, therefore, respectfully solicited.

In the event the Examiner believes an interview might serve to advance the prosecution of this application in any way, the undersigned attorney is available at the telephone number noted below.

Application No. 10/019,013 Amendment dated February 15, 2006 Reply to Office Action of November 22, 2005 Docket No.: 21900-00044-US

The Director is hereby authorized to charge any fees, or credit any overpayment, associated with this communication, including any extension fees, to CBLH Deposit Account No. 22-0185, under Order No. 21900-00044-US from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: February 15, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Morris Lass

Registration No.: 24,510

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP Correspondence Customer Number: 30678

Attorney for Applicant